Court Security Advisory Committee Annual Agenda—2017

Approved by E&P:	
------------------	--

I. ADVISORY BODY INFORMATION

Chai	ir: Hon. Thomas M. Maddock	
Staff	f: Ed Ellestad, Supervisor, Security Operations, Real Estate a	and Facilities Management

Advisory Body's Charge: The Court Security Advisory Committee makes recommendations to the council for improving court security, including personal security and emergency response planning.

Advisory Body's Membership: The committee must include at least one member from each of the following categories:

- Appellate court justice
- Appellate court administrator;
- Trial court judge;
- Trial court judicial administrator;
- Member of the Court Facilities Advisory Committee; and
- Member of the Trial Court Facility Modification Advisory Committee.

At least one member of the committee should be from a trial court that uses a marshal for court security services; this is not, however, a separate category of membership.

The committee currently has 10 members, including 1 appellate justice, 1 appellate court administrator, 5 trial court judges, and 4 trial court administrators, 1 of whom is a member of the Trial Court Facility Modification Advisory Committee. It does not have a member of the Court Facilities Advisory Committee or one from a trial court that uses a marshal.

Subgroups/Working Groups: None.

Advisory Body's Key Objectives for 2017:

- Make recommendations on the necessary emergency response and security functions for the branch.
- Advocate for funding to support those functions/existing emergency and security-related programs.

II. ADVISORY BODY PROJECTS

#	Project	Priority	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
1.	Consider new and continuing emergency- and security-related concerns for the branch, and make additional recommendations as needed.	1(f)	 California Rules of Court, rule 10.61(a), Area of Focus Goal III. Modernization of Management and Administration Objective 3. Improve safety, security—including disaster preparedness—at all court locations for all court users, judicial officers, and staff.	Ongoing, as part of committee's charge	Reports to Judicial Council as needed, which may include recommendations that the council direct its facilities and budget advisory committees on specific or urgent priorities.

#	Project	Priority	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
			telecommunications, security systems, and educational technology. Part B: Technology Infrastructure, Objective 4. Implement new tools to facilitate the electronic exchange of court information while balancing privacy and security. Outcome c. A single point of Internet access to the Judicial Council for the California courts, justice partners, and the public. Part B: Technology Infrastructure, Objective 7. Develop, support, and implement a statewide business continuity and emergency preparedness technology infrastructure—with emphasis on key system features. Outcome a. Threat and vulnerability assessment systems/technology funded and in place. Outcome b. Funding structure for actual disaster recovery/continuity of operations. Origin of Project: Judicial Council direction, rule 10.61. Resources: Judicial Council staff support from Legal Services, Real Estate and Facilities Management, and Security Operations. Key Objective Supported: Make recommendations on the necessary emergency response and security functions for the branch.	Date/Status	Outcome of Activity

#	Project	Priority	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
2.	Recommendations to Judicial Council for Continuation of Security Operations unit's Emergency and Continuity of Operations Planning Program, which provides and maintains online planning system and trainings. • Advise budget committees and decision-makers of this priority. • Advise budget committees to allow us to review and comment on security-related agenda items before decisions are made. • If no funding is made available, direct staff to communicate the resulting direct cost to the courts.	1(f)	Judicial Council Direction: Same as Project 1. Origin of Project: Lack of dedicated funding. Resources: Judicial Council staff support from Legal Services, Real Estate and Facilities Management, and Security Operations. Key Objective Supported: Advise on, and advocate for funding to support, existing emergency and security-related programs.	Ongoing, as needed	Information and support for the Judicial Council's facilities and budget advisory committees and decision-makers.
3.	Recommendations to Judicial Council for Continuation of Security Operations unit's Trial Court Security Grant Program for trial courts, which provides and maintains systems such as access, camera, duress, etc. Advise budget committees and decision-makers of this priority.	1(f)	Judicial Council Direction: Same as Project 1. Origin of Project: Lack of dedicated funding. Resources: Judicial Council staff support from Legal Services, Real Estate and Facilities Management, and Security Operations.	Ongoing, as needed	Information and support for the Judicial Council's facilities and budget advisory committees and decision-makers.

#	Project	Priority	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
	 Advise budget committees to allow us to review and comment on security-related agenda items before decisions are made. If no funding is made available, direct staff to communicate the resulting direct cost to the courts. 		Key Objective Supported: Advise on, and advocate for funding to support, existing emergency and security-related programs.		
4.	Recommendations to Judicial Council for Continuation of Security Operations unit's Screening Equipment Replacement Program for trial courts, which replaces and maintains x-ray machines and magnetometers. • Advise budget committees and decision-makers of this priority. • Advise budget committees to allow us to review and comment on security-related agenda items before decisions are made. • If no funding is made available, direct staff to communicate the resulting direct cost to the courts.	1(f)	Judicial Council Direction: Same as Project 1. Origin of Project: Lack of dedicated funding. Resources: Judicial Council staff support from Legal Services, Real Estate and Facilities Management, and Security Operations. Key Objective Supported: Advise on, and advocate for funding to support, existing emergency and security-related programs.	Ongoing, as needed	Information and support for the Judicial Council's facilities and budget advisory committees and decision-makers.

#	Project	Priority	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
5.	Recommendations to Judicial Council for Continuation of Security Operations unit's Court Security Plans services— specifically, the online planning module in Project 2, and annual review of summary data by this committee under rule 10.172(e). • Advise budget committees and decision-makers of this priority. • Advise budget committees to allow us to review and comment on security-related agenda items before decisions are made. • If no funding is made available, direct staff to communicate the resulting direct cost to the courts.	1(f)	Judicial Council Direction: Same as Project 1. Additional Judicial Council Direction: California Rules of Court, rule 10.172, Court Security Plans Origin of Project: Lack of dedicated funding. Resources: Judicial Council staff support from Legal Services, Real Estate and Facilities Management, and Security Operations. Key Objective Supported: Advise on, and advocate for funding to support, existing emergency and security-related programs.	Ongoing, as needed	Information and support for the Judicial Council's facilities and budget advisory committees and decision-makers.
6.	Consider results of the Court Security Advisory Committee survey about the trial courts' security needs and priorities.	2(b)	Same as Project 1.	In progress, June 2017	Information needed to support key objectives.

III. STATUS OF 2016 PROJECTS:

#	Project	Completion Date/Status
1	Recommendations to Judicial Council for Continuation of Security Operations unit's Emergency and Continuity of Operations Planning Program, which provides and maintains online planning system and trainings. Advise budget committees and decision-makers of this priority. Advise budget committees to allow us to review and comment on security-related agenda items before decisions are made. If no funding is made available, direct staff to communicate the resulting direct cost to the courts.	December 2016 and ongoing—The committee accomplished what was necessary and possible given the circumstances. The committee's objective was to advocate for funding to support this program; and it reviewed and played a role in successful submission of the relevant BCP. Because the funding request in the BCP was not approved, there was no discussion regarding spending, and no budget committee discussion related to security funding.
2	Recommendations to Judicial Council for Continuation of Security Operations unit's Trial Court Security Grant Program for trial courts, which provides and maintains systems such as access, camera, duress, etc. • Advise budget committees and decision-makers of this priority. • Advise budget committees to allow us to review and comment on security-related agenda items before decisions are made. • If no funding is made available, direct staff to communicate the resulting direct cost to the courts.	Same as #1. Lead Staff is liaison to Trial Court Facilities Modification Advisory Committee, participating in meetings as part of regular duties and involving Chair as needed.
3	Recommendations to Judicial Council for Continuation of Security Operations unit's Screening Equipment Replacement Program for trial courts, which replaces and maintains x-ray machines and magnetometers. • Advise budget committees and decision-makers of this priority. • Advise budget committees to allow us to review and comment on security-related agenda items before decisions are made. • If no funding is made available, direct staff to communicate the resulting direct cost to the courts.	Same as #1. Replacement equipment is still being funded, and staff informed the trial courts that they must pay for years 6-8 of maintenance.

4	Recommendations to Judicial Council for Continuation of Security	Same as #1.
	Operations unit's Court Security Plans services, which involve the	
	online planning module in Project 2, and annual review of	
	summary data by this committee under rule 10.172(e).	
	• Advise budget committees and decision-makers of this priority.	
	Advise budget committees to allow us to review and comment on security-related agenda items before decisions are made.	
	• If no funding is made available, direct staff to communicate the resulting direct cost to the courts.	
5	Court Security Advisory Committee survey to obtain information	In progress and ongoing—The Trial Court Security Survey was
	about the trial courts' security needs and priorities.	performed from October to December 2016. To date, a total of
		50 responses were received. The results are currently being
		reviewed and analyzed, and summary results were discussed by
		the committee at its January 11, 2017, meeting. Additional time
		is needed for review and follow up; that will be a 2017 project.

IV. Subgroups/Working Groups - Detail

Subgroups/Working Groups: N/A.