



## Judicial Council of California

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

455 Golden Gate Avenue . San Francisco, California 94102-3688

Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

---

### ADDENDUM 2

---

Date

**October 7, 2010**

To

**Potential Proposers**

From

**Judicial Council of California**

**Administrative Office of the Courts,**

**Office of Court Construction and**

**Management**

Action Requested

**Please review attached Q&A**

Deadline

**N/A**

Contact

[occm\\_solicitations@jud.ca.gov](mailto:occm_solicitations@jud.ca.gov)

Subject

**Addendum No. 2**

**CM@Risk Services for New San Jose**

**Family Justice Center**

**Solicitation Number: OCCM-2010-23-GS**

Construction Manager at Risk Services for San Jose Family Justice Center Courthouse  
RFQ/P OCCM-2010-23-GS, Addendum No. 2, Q&A

#	RFQ Reference	Question	Answers
1	Addendum No. 1; Question 11 & 35	The answer to Addendum No. 1, questions 11 & 35, indicates that CM@Risk is to provide office trailer / space for the AOC as part of Temporary Facilities. So that each firm prices the same scope of work, please define the minimum office trailer/space required by AOC; i.e. does AOC just need a singular office space within CM@Risk trailer, or require a separate trailer (size?).	A separate trailer will not be required. Office space for AOC to be provided as a part of the CM's trailer would be a private office for the AOC. We suggest 10' X 10' with typical office furniture, telephone, and internet connection.
2	Addendum No. 1; Question 26  Attachment A, Basic Services, Section .4.16.(iii), page A-8.	The answer to Addendum No. 1, question 26, indicates that it is the AOC's intent that individuals reviewing drawings and specifications as part of the CM@Risk's QC Program be "licensed" in the same field as the professionals who prepared the documents. Please clarify as this direction is not consistent with previous AOC projects, which clarified that it was the intent of this section to allow Construction and Construction Management professionals trained and qualified in general areas of construction perform these reviews and that 'licensed' professionals were not required. If licensed professionals (in the same field) are required, please elaborate to what level this is required; i.e. acoustics & vibration, civil, mechanical, electrical, etc. as this can involve significant costs.	We require that licensed mechanical, electrical and structural engineers be included for reviews of documents where licensed mechanical, electrical and structural engineers prepared the respective documents that are being reviewed.

Construction Manager at Risk Services for San Jose Family Justice Center Courthouse  
RFQ/P OCCM-2010-23-GS, Addendum No. 2, Q&A

#	RFQ Reference	Question	Answers
3		<p>We understand that the question and answer period has concluded for the San Jose Family Justice Center. However, if possible I wanted to get some clarification on the response to one of the questions issued in Addendum 1. Question 24 indicates that Attachment E Item 14.5 requests OSHA 300 and 300A logs for the last three years and requests where to provide them in the submittal. The response to the question indicates to provide them under Tab 8.1 Supporting Documentation.</p> <p>It appears that the firm that asked this question is referring to a previous RFQ for another AOC project, as it is not required in the SJ Family Justice Center RFQ, Attachment E. Should these logs be provided?</p>	<p>Yes. There is no Attachment E 14.5 in this RFP.</p> <p>OSHA 300 and 300A logs for the last three years are not a requirement of this RFP.</p>
4	Attachment E, Technical Qualifications Questionnaire	Question #24 referenced information requested in Item 14.5 in Attachment E. Your response stated that this documentation could be included in 8.1. There is no Item 14.5 in Attachment E (though there have been in previous RFPs from your office). Please clarify.	Please see response to question #3.