

Judicial Council of California

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

FINANCE DIVISION

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688 Telephone 415-865-7739 • Fax 415-865-7217 • TDD 415-865-4272

RONALD M. GEORGE Chief Justice of California Chair of the Judicial Council WILLIAM C. VICKREY Administrative Director of the Courts

RONALD G. OVERHOLT Chief Deputy Director

STEPHEN NASH Director, Finance Division

TO: POTENTIAL PROPOSERS

FROM: Administrative Office of the Courts

Information Services Division

DATE: February 26, 2009

SUBJECT/PURPOSE

OF MEMO:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Information Services Division (ISD), a division of the Administrative Office of the Courts, seeks the services of a consultant to provide project management for the deployment phase of

the California Courts Protective Order Registry project

ACTION REQUIRED: You are invited to review and respond to the attached Request for Proposals (RFP)as posted at

http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/reference/rfp/:

Project Title: Deployment Project Manager for the California Courts Protective Order

Registry.

RFP Number: ISD 200810-RB

QUESTIONS TO THE

SOLICITATIONS MAILBOX:

Questions regarding this RFP should be directed to solicitations@jud.ca.gov by

March 2, 2008, no later than 3 p.m. (PST)

DATE AND TIME

There will not be a pre-proposal conference for this RFP.

PROPOSAL DUE: Proposals must be received by March 6, 2009, no later than 3 p.m. (PST)

SUBMISSION OF

PROPOSAL: Judicial Council of California

Administrative Office of the Courts

Proposals must be sent to:

Attn: Nadine McFadden, RFP No. ISD 200810-RB

455 Golden Gate Avenue, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

RFP Number: ISD200810-RB

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 BACKGROUND

1.1.1 The Judicial Council of California, chaired by the Chief Justice of California, is the chief policy making agency of the California judicial system. The California Constitution directs the Council to improve the administration of justice by surveying judicial business, recommending improvements to the Courts, and making recommendations annually to the Governor and the Legislature. The Council also adopts rules for Court administration, practice, and procedure, and performs other functions prescribed by law. The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) is the staff agency for the Council and assists both the Council and its chair in performing their duties.

1.2 INFORMATION SERVICES DIVISION

- 1.2. The Information Services Division (ISD), a division of the AOC, coordinates court technology statewide, and supports coordination throughout the judicial branch; manages centralized statewide technology projects; and optimizes the scope and accessibility of accurate statewide judicial information.
- 1.3 California Courts Protective Order Registry (CCPOR)
 - 1.3.1 At the direction of the Judicial Council, on the recommendation of the Domestic Violence Task Force, the AOC initiated an effort to develop the California Courts Protective Order Registry (CCPOR), a centralized system for viewing protective and restraining orders and related information for the judicial branch, law enforcement, and other justice partners.

Following completion of a fact-finding phase in 2007 to better understand the various local court practices related to restraining and protective orders, the CCPOR has scheduled functional Joint Application Design sessions (JADs) and technical workshops with the six initial superior courts participating in the CCPOR program. Requirements will be finalized in September 2008, and it is expected that CCPOR development will be completed the first quarter of 2009. CCPOR will begin deployment to the six initial courts in 2009, and will deploy to additional courts from 2010 through 2012.

1.3.2 Work is to be performed on-site at the AOC in San Francisco, CA.

RFP Number: ISD200810-RB

2.0 TIMELINE FOR THIS RFP

2.1 The AOC has developed the following list of key events from the time of the issuance of this RFP through the intent to award contract. All dates are subject to change at the discretion of the AOC.

EVENT	KEY DATE	
RFP issued to http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/reference/rfp/ :	February 26, 2009	
Deadline for questions to solicitations@jud.ca.gov	March 2, 2009, 3:00 p.m. Pacific Time	
Latest date and time proposal may be submitted	March 6, 2009, 3:00 p.m. Pacific Time	
Evaluation of proposals (estimate only)	March 11,2009	
Interview of top candidates (estimate only)	March 16, 2009	
Notice of Intent to Award (estimate only)	March 19, 2009	
Negotiations and execution of contract (estimate only)	March 24, 2009	

3.0 PURPOSE OF THIS REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP)

- 3.1 The AOC seeks the services of a contractor for about 3 months to perform Project Management responsibilities for the deployment phase of CCPOR.
- The expected contractual responsibilities and work requirements are set forth in Exhibit D, Work to be Performed, in Attachment 2, Contract Terms.

4.0 RFP ATTACHMENTS

- 4.1 Included as part of this RFP are the following attachments:
 - 4.1.1 <u>Attachment 1, Administrative Rules Governing Request for Proposals.</u>
 Proposers shall follow the rules, set forth in Attachment 1, in preparation and submittal of their proposals.
 - 4.1.2 <u>Attachment 2, Contract Terms.</u> Contracts with successful firms will be signed by the parties on a State of California Standard Agreement form and will include terms appropriate for this project. Terms and conditions typical for the requested services are attached as Attachment 2, Contract Terms and include: Exhibit A, Standard Provisions; Exhibit B, Special Provisions;

RFP Number: ISD200810-RB

Exhibit C, Payment Provisions; Exhibit D, Work to be Performed; Exhibit E, Contractor's Key Personnel (to be determined); and Exhibit F, Attachments.

- 4.1.3 <u>Attachment 3, Vendor's Acceptance of the RFP's Contract Terms.</u> Proposers must either indicate acceptance of Contract Terms, as set forth in Attachment 2, Contract Terms, or clearly identify exceptions to the Contract Terms, as set forth in this Attachment 3.
 - 4.1.3.1 If exceptions are identified, then proposers must also submit (i) a red-lined version of Attachment 2, Contract Terms, that clearly tracks proposed changes to this attachment, and (ii) written documentation to substantiate each such proposed change.
- 4.1.4 <u>Attachment 4, Payee Data Record Form</u>. The AOC is required to obtain and keep on file, a completed Payee Data Record for each vendor prior to entering into a contract with that vendor. Therefore, vendor's proposal must include a completed and signed Payee Data Record Form, set forth as Attachment 4, or provide a copy of the form previously submitted to AOC.

5.0 EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

- 5.1 Proposals will be evaluated by the AOC using the following criteria, in order of descending priority; if a proposal includes multiple candidates, each proposed key personnel will be evaluated separately in accordance with these criteria:
 - 5.1.1 Specialized expertise and technical competence. Proposals will be evaluated considering the type of services required and the complexity of the project, with special consideration for the following: over five (5) years of IT program management experience; defining lifecycle processes comprised of cross-functional team members; experience deploying projects in a shared data center environment. Recent experience and expertise with programs of a similar type will be a key consideration.
 - 5.1.2 Past record of performance. Proposals will be evaluated considering past performance, especially on contracts with government agencies or public bodies, including such factors as control of costs, quality of work, ability to meet schedules, cooperation, responsiveness, and other managerial considerations.
 - 5.1.3 <u>Reasonableness of cost projections</u>. Proposals will be evaluated in terms of reasonableness of cost, proposed rate structure for the position, including breakdown of salary, overhead and profit.
 - 5.1.4 <u>Ability to meet requirements of the project</u>. Proposals will be evaluated in terms of compliance with proposed contract terms and project scheduling.

6.0 SPECIFICS OF A RESPONSIVE PROPOSAL

6.1 Provide proposer's point of contact, including name, physical and electronic addresses, and telephone and facsimile numbers in a cover letter.

RFP Number: ISD200810-RB

6.2 The following information shall be included in the proposal and demonstrated separately for each key personnel candidate proposed:

- 6.2.1 Specialized expertise and technical competence.
 - 6.2.1.1 Demonstrate the proposed key personnel's relevant experience and technical competence, especially in the areas highlighted below.
 - Minimum of 10 years of IT overall experience.
 - Minimum of 5 years for Project Management experience.
 - Good communication skills, with both technical and non-technical audiences including creating and presenting executive summaries to steering committees and other executive level leadership.
 - Familiarity with the Rational toolsuite
 - Ability to manage programs and develop and maintain complex project plans.
 - Ability to identify and evaluate alternative solutions, costs and benefits.
 - Strong analytical capabilities and the ability to breakdown complex ideas into manageable pieces.
 - Knowledge of the principles of systems design, implementation, and development.
 - Court Systems experience is a plus.
 - Knowledge of system test plan development and execution software.
 - 6.2.1.2 Provide most the recent resume and the names, physical and electronic addresses, and telephone numbers of a minimum of three (3) clients for whom the proposed key personnel has conducted similar services. The AOC may check references listed by the proposer.
- 6.2.2 Past record of performance. Discuss the proposed key personnel's record of performance on past programs, quality of work, ability to meet schedules, cooperation, responsiveness, and other managerial considerations.
- 6.2.3 Reasonableness of cost projections.
 - 6.2.3.1 Provide the fully burdened hourly rate of each proposed key personnel, and include the salary, overhead, and profit rate structure breakdown for the rate using the following formula:

	Amt Payable To The Key Personnel	\$XX.XX	XX%
+	Amt Allocated to Proposer's Overhead	\$XX.XX	XX%
+	Amt Allocated to Proposer's Profit	\$XX.XX	XX%
=	Total For Key Personnel	\$XXX.XX	100%

6.2.3.2 The cost proposal should also include separate line items for travel and lodging. Travel expenses, if any, will be reimbursed in accordance with the provisions set forth in Exhibit C, Payment Provisions, in

RFP Number: ISD200810-RB

Attachment 2, Contract Terms. For purposes of this RFP, vendors are to assume allowable travel expenses will not exceed \$5,000, as further detailed in Schedule 1, Estimated Travel, set forth in Exhibit C, Payment Provisions, of Attachment 2, Contract Terms.

- 6.2.3.3 Include a total not to exceed contract sum for the work and allowable expenses considered by this RFP, bearing in mind that (i) the total cost for consultant services will range between \$100,000.00 -\$150,000.00, inclusive of personnel, materials, overhead, profit, and travel costs and expenses, and (ii) the method of payment to the consultant is anticipated to be by cost reimbursement.
- 6.2.4 Ability to meet requirements of the project.
 - 6.2.4.1 Discuss the key personnel's availability and ability to complete the work within the project schedule, set forth in Exhibit D, Work to be Performed, in Attachment 2, Contract Terms.
 - 6.2.4.2 For purposes of this RFP, vendors are to estimate a total of 444 hours of work for approximately three (3) months; additionally, the eventual contractor will not work more than thirty-six (36) hours per week unless preapproved, in writing, by the project manager.
 - 6.2.4.3 Compliance with Contract Terms. Complete and submit Attachment 3, Vendor's Acceptance of the RFP's Contract Terms. Also, if changes are proposed, submit a version of Attachment 2, Contract Terms with all tracked changes, as well as written justification supporting any such proposed changes.
 - 6.2.4.4 Tax recording information. Complete and submit Attachment 4, Payee Data Record Form, or provide a copy of the form previously submitted to the AOC.

7.0 SUBMISSIONS OF PROPOSALS

- 7.1 Responsive proposals should provide straightforward, concise information that satisfies the requirements noted in Section 6.0, Specifics of a Responsive Proposal, above. Expensive bindings, color displays, and the like are not necessary or desired. Emphasis should be placed on conformity to the state's instructions, requirements of this RFP, and completeness and clarity of content.
- 7.2 Proposers will submit **one** (1) **original and three** (3) **copies** of the proposal, signed by an authorized representative of the company, including name, title, address, and telephone number of one individual who is the responder's designated representative. Proposers may submit up to 2 candidates for consideration as key personnel. Proposals containing more than 2 candidates may not be evaluated.

IMPORTANT! Proposers may submit up to two candidates for consideration as key personnel. Proposals with more than two candidates may not be evaluated.

RFP Number: ISD200810-RB

7.3 Proposals must be delivered to the individual listed under Submission of Proposals, as set forth on the cover memo of this RFP.

- 7.4 Only written responses will be accepted. Responses should be sent by registered or certified mail or by hand delivery.
- 7.5 In addition to submittal of the original and three copies of the proposals, as set forth in Section 7.2, above, proposers are also required to submit an electronic version of the entire proposal on CD-ROM.

8.0 INTERVIEWS

The AOC anticipates conducting interviews with top ranked proposed key personnel candidates to clarify aspects set forth in the written proposal. If conducted, interviews will likely be conducted at the AOC's offices in San Francisco. The AOC will not reimburse candidates for any costs incurred in traveling to or from the interview location. The AOC will notify prospective vendors regarding interview arrangements.

9.0 RIGHTS

The AOC reserves the right to reject any and all proposals, in whole or in part, as well as the right to issue similar RFPs in the future. This RFP is in no way an agreement, obligation, or contract and in no way is the AOC or the State of California responsible for the cost of preparing the proposal. One copy of a submitted proposal will be retained for official files and will become a public record.

10.0 CONFIDENTIAL OR PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

The Administrative Office of the Courts policy is to follow the intent of the California Public Records Act (PRA). If a vendor's proposal contains material noted or marked as confidential and/or proprietary that, in the AOC's sole opinion, meets the disclosure exemption requirements of the PRA, then that information will not be disclosed pursuant to a request for public documents. If the AOC does not consider such material to be exempt from disclosure under the PRA, the material will be made available to the public, regardless of the notation or markings. If a vendor is unsure if its confidential and/or proprietary material meets the disclosure exemption requirements of the PRA, then it should not include such information in its proposal.

END OF FORM