OCIP Brokerage and Administration

By: J. Mullen

By: J. Mullen						MERRIWETHER &		
SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	AON	MARSH	WILLIS	GALLAGHER	WILLIAMS	ALLIANT	WELLS FARGO
Experienced of the proposed team,and its key								
individuals in the development of a high quality								
OCIP insurance program for court buildings	10	9.70	9.75	9.75	9.4	8.675	9.725	8
our insurance program for court bundings	10	3.70	3.73	3.73	3.1	0.073	3.723	
Recent experience of proposed team and its								
key individuals in the completion of an OCIP for								
public/ institutional buildings	10	8.5	7.5	8	6.5	4.5	7.5	3.25
pasto, mettational santango in	10	0.0	7.0		0.0		,,,,	5.25
Prospective brokers record of developing								
insurance programs that support the public								
agency's realities in providing the OCIP	15	13.75	10.75	14.75	12.25	8.25	12.75	7.5
Prospective brokers record in developing					_			
programs and systems for implementing an								
OCIP insurance program for construction a								
rolling OCIP	15	14	11.5	14.25	11	9	12	7
Demonstrated experience and expertise of the								
proposed team with developing and								
implementing project loss control plans	5	4	3.25	5	3.5	3.25	3.5	3
Demonstrated experience and expertise in								
developing claims administration and reserve								
analysis programs	5	4	2.75	4.5	4.5	3	2.75	2.75
Demonstrated ability and authority of the								
designated Account Manager to provide								
internal control of the brokers project team in								
order to provide the AOC with a single point of								
contact	10	8.25	5.75	9.5	7	7	9	4
Ability of the broker to provide staff and								
resources necessary to develop and manage								
separate OCIP's around the state	10	9.5	6.75	9	6	6	6.75	
Sub-total	80	71.7	58	74.75	60.15	49.675	63.975	37.5
Fee Score Option 1	20	12.89	11.61	11.03	17.78	20.00	9.84	8.72
Total Points	100.00	84.59	69.61	85.78	77.93	69.68	73.82	46.22
Rank		2	6	1	3	5	4	7
Fee Score Option 2	80	13.59	11.62	10.57	18.55	20.00	8.03	5.00
Total Points	80.00	85.29	69.62	85.32	78.70	69.68	72.00	42.50
Rank		2	6	1	3	5	4	7

Method 1 Fee Scoring		Construction Cost							
1732 Phase 1	Construction Amount	\$842,593,000							
	% Quoted	ψο,σσσ,σσσ	0.0712	0.07786	0.0809	0.055	0.0419	0.0709	0.08
	Fee		\$1,319,838	\$1,443,294	\$1,499,647	\$1,019,538	\$776,702	\$1,314,277	\$1,482,964
1407 Phase 1			. , ,			. , ,		, , ,	. , ,
	Construction Amount	\$1,298,120,000							
	% Quoted		0.0527	0.05272	0.049	0.04	0.029	0.0709	0.08
	Fee		\$1,505,040	\$1,505,612	\$1,399,373	\$1,142,346	\$828,201	\$2,024,808	\$2,284,691
1407 Phase 2									
	Construction Amount	\$1,173,929,292							
	% Quoted		0.0485	0.05451	0.0581	0.035	0.038	0.0709	0.08
	Fee		\$1,252,583	\$1,407,799	\$1,500,516	\$903,926	\$981,405	\$1,831,095	\$2,066,116
1407 Phase 3									
	Construction Amount	\$995,745,050							
	% Quoted		0.0483	0.06132	0.073	0.03	0.033	0.0709	0.08
	Fee		\$1,058,079	\$1,343,300	\$1,599,167	\$657,192	\$722,911	\$1,553,163	\$1,752,511
Total			\$5,135,539	\$5,700,005	\$5,998,703	\$3,723,000	\$3,309,219	\$6,723,342	\$7,586,282
Score Points			12.9	11.6	11.0	17.8	20.0	9.8	8.7

								(High Range	
						Developed	Score	Fee) - (Proposed	Additional
Method 2 Fee Scoring		Proposer	Range of Fees	Base Score	Proposed Fee	Score	Differential	Fee)	Score Points
	7,586,282.00	Merriwether	3,309,219.00	20	3,309,219	20.00	285,137.53	-	0
	3,309,219.00		3,594,356.53	19)				
	4,277,063.00	Gallagher	3,879,494.07	18	3,723,000	18.55	285,137.53	156,494.07	0.548837134
	285,137.53		4,164,631.60	17	7				
			4,449,769.13	16	5				
			4,734,906.67	15	5				
			5,020,044.20	14	1				
		Aon	5,305,181.73	13	5,135,539	13.59	285,137.53	169,642.73	0.594950554
			5,590,319.27	12	<u> </u>				
		Marsh	5,875,456.80	11	5,700,005	11.62	285,137.53	175,451.80	0.615323412
		Willis	6,160,594.33	10	5,998,703	10.57	285,137.53	161,891.33	0.567765778
			6,445,731.87	g)				
		Alliant	6,730,869.40	8	6,723,342	8.03	285,137.53	7,527.40	0.02639919
			7,016,006.93	7	7				
			7,301,144.47	ϵ	5				
		Wells Fargo	7,586,282.00	5	7,586,282	5.00	285,137.53	-	0